



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,597	12/03/2004	Etienne Degand	4004-063-30 NATL	8558
30448	7590	10/26/2007	EXAMINER	
AKERMAN SENTERFITT			PATEL, VINOD D	
P.O. BOX 3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			3742	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/26/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/516,597	DEGAND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Vinod D. Patel	3742	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-30 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED OFFICE ACTION**

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/15/07 has been entered.

***Drawings***

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, two of said bus bars are shared between different zones as claimed in the claim 30 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

Art Unit: 3742

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-6, 8-9, 12, 14, 18-19, 21-24 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) in view of Smallbone (US4251316).

Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) discloses an electrically heatable glazing panel (Fig. 1-18B) comprising a substrate (11, 31) and at least two electrically heatable zones, each electrically heatable zone comprising: a substantially transparent, electrically conductive coating layer (12, 32), spaced bus bars (13a, 13b, 33a, 33b, 33c, 33d) adapted to supply electrical voltage across the substantially transparent, electrically conductive coating layer, and a conductive path defined between the bus bars, slits (34, 35) with different patterns as shown in Fig 2-10 to form non conductive portions, the

Art Unit: 3742

length of the conductive path is substantially the same in each zone as shown in Figure 14, the bus bars are provided along the length of the lower edge of the glazing panel as shown in Figure 11a, at least two electrically heatable zones (32a, 32b, 32c, 32d, are delimited by at least one zone boundary (34) which is substantially insulating, (the zone boundary (34) is less likely electrically conductive than the coating layer which is substantially non conductive of electrical current) as shown in Figure 14, at least one bus bar (33d) is shared between different zones as shown in the Figure 11B, the one or more zone boundaries are provided by non-coated portions (34, 35) of the glazing panel, the outer most slit (34) constitutes the “zone boundaries” each slit is 100 µm or less (Page 1, line 129- page 2, line 2), the substrate is glass (21), the glazing panel is for an automobile or the like used as window glass (Page 1, line 6-10), at least two of the bus bars are shared between different zones as shown in the Figure 11A & B.

With respect to claim 1, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) does not disclose at least one of the electrically heatable zones the conductive path changes direction at least once along its length within the electrically conductive coating layer so as to double back upon itself.

With respect to claim 2, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) does not disclose at least one portion of the conductive path extends substantially from a lower edge of the glazing panel to an upper edge of the glazing panel.

With respect to claim 3, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) does not disclose the conductive path changes direction at least once along its length within the electrically conductive coating layer so as to double back upon itself.

With respect to claim 14, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) does not disclose the the glazing panel is laminated.

Smallbone (US4251316) discloses an electrically heatable glazing panel (Fig. 1-14) comprising a substrate (1) and at least two electrically heatable zones, each electrically heatable zone comprising: an electrically conductive coating layer, spaced bus bars (4) adapted to supply electrical voltage across the substantially transparent, electrically conductive coating layer, and a conductive path defined between the bus bars in which at least one of the electrically heatable zones the conductive path changes direction at least once along its length within the electrically conductive coating layer so as to double back upon it self, one portion of the conductive path extends substantially from a lower edge of the glazing panel to an upper edge of the glazing panel as shown in the Figures by slit (5) to form non conductive portions on the surface to avoid hot spots in the predetermined area and to provide uniform temperature distribution (column 3, lines 25-35), the length of the conductive path is substantially the same in each zone as shown in the Figures, all the bus bars are provided along the length of the lower edge of the glazing panel as shown in Figures (1,4,5,7 and 8), the electrically heatable zones are delimited by at least one zone boundary which is substantially insulating, the one or more zone boundaries are provided by non-coated portions (5) of the glazing panel, the glazing panel is laminated (claim 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide slit to provide a conductive path between two bus bars in which the electrically heatable zones the conductive path changes direction along its length within

Art Unit: 3742

the electrically conductive coating layer so as to double back upon it self in a laminated heatable glazing panel as taught by Smallbone (US4251316) in order to avoid hot spots in the predetermined area and to provide uniform temperature distribution for an electrically heatable glazing panel of Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A).

With respect to claim 21, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) discloses an electrically heatable glazing panel (Fig. 11A) comprising spaced first (33a), second (33c) and third (33b) electrical bus bars arranged in order at and along an edge of the glazing panel a first electrically heatable pathway defined between the first and the second bus bars a second electrically heatable pathway defined between the second and the third bus bars.

With respect to claim 22, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) discloses an electrically heatable glazing panel is adapted to provide for electrical heating of the first electrically heatable pathway by means of a difference in electrical potential applied between the second and first bus bars and which is adapted to provide for electrical heating of the second electrically heatable pathway by means of a difference in electrical potential applied between the second and third bus bars as shown in Fig 11A.

With respect to claim 23, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) discloses an electrically heatable glazing panel, the first and third bus bars are adapted to be maintained at substantially the same electrical potential for heating of the first and second electrically heatable pathways as shown in Fig 11A.

With respect to claim 24, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) discloses an electrically heatable glazing panel for heating of the first and second electrically

Art Unit: 3742

heatable pathways, the second bus bar is adapted to be maintained at a negative electrical potential and the first and the third bus bars are adapted to be maintained at a positive electrical potential as shown in Fig 11A.

5. Claims 10-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) in view of Smallbone (US4251316) and further in view of WO00/72635.

The claim differs from Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) in calling for a solar control coating layer, a resistance between 2 and 25 to ohms/square. WO00/72635 discloses an electrically-heatable solar control coating used to heat automotive glass. See abstract. An electrically-heatable solar control coatings for glazing panels is well known in the art, solar control coatings not only are electrically heatable, they also reduce incident solar energy while allowing visible light to pass therethrough. See P. 1, lines 17-28. Moreover, as is well known in the art, such electrically heatable coatings have resistances from 2-4, and in some cases, 8-20 ohms per square. See P. 3, lines 20-30. In view of WO00/72635, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a solar control coating in the apparatus of GB2186769 to provide a coating that was not only electrically heatable along the surface of the glazing, but also reduces incident solar energy while allowing visible light to pass therethrough.

The claims also differ from GB2186769 in calling for the panel to be thermally toughened. But such a toughening technique is well known in the art as evidenced by WO00/72635 in P. 5, line 3 (disclosing tempering). In view of WO00/72635, it would

Art Unit: 3742

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to thermally toughen the panel, such as tempering, to increase the panel's durability and strength.

6. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) in view of Smallbone (US4251316) and further in view of Spagnoli et al (US 5466911).

The claims differ from GB2186769 in calling for the glazing to be an automotive side window and to have at least one acute angle. But electrically-heated automotive side windows are well known in the art. Spagnoli et al (US 5,466,911), for example, discloses an electrically heated glazing for a vehicle's side window for deicing so that the rear view mirror 112 can be observed through the window. See Fig. 1A. Note also the glazing's acute angle. In view of Spagnoli et al (US 5,466,911), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the glazing for a vehicle side window in the previously described apparatus to clear ice from the side window so that the rear view mirror can be observed through the window.

7. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) in view of Smallbone (US4251316) and further in view of Spagnoli et al (US 5466911) & McMaster (US 3,475,588) & Marriott (US 4,119,425)..

The claim differs from the previously cited prior art in calling for the glazing panel to be substantially triangular. But conforming trapezoidal glazing panels are well known in the art as evidenced, for example, by McMaster (US 3,475,588). In Fig. 1, McMaster (US 3,475,588) discloses a trapezoidal, electrically-heated glazing panel D that forms a

Art Unit: 3742

conforming side window. In view of McMaster (US 3,475,588), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a trapezoidal glazing panel in the previously described apparatus to form a conforming side window.

Although the panel D of McMaster (US 3,475,588) has four sides, forming a conforming an electrically-heated side window with three sides such that it is substantially triangular is well known in the art as evidenced by Marriott (US 4,119,425) noting electrically-heated, triangular conforming side windows 13 in Figs. 1-3. Such an arrangement uses less glass in manufacture than trapezoidal conforming windows. In view of McMaster (US 3,475,588), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the conforming side window with a triangular shape in the previously described apparatus to minimize the amount of glass used in manufacture, thus reducing cost.

8. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) in view of Smallbone (US4251316) and further in view of WO00/72635.

The claims also differ from GB2186769 in calling for the temperature variation to be less than 15 degrees C following voltage application and equilibrium. Fabricating a glazing panel with a heatable coating to uniformly heat the panel notwithstanding the presence of discontinuities in the coating, however, is well known in the art. WO00/72635 discloses providing an electrically conductive band to bound a data transmission window (discontinuity) in the coating to more uniformly heat the panel and

minimize perturbations. See abstract and P. 5, lines 6-20. In view of WO00/72635, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide means to uniformly heat the panel notwithstanding the presence of discontinuities in the coating to minimize heating perturbations and hot spots along the panel.

9. Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A).

With respect to claim 25-27 and 29, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) discloses claimed invention and teaches use of three bus bars and heatable pathway between bus bars except fourth and fifth bus bar.

Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) discloses the claimed invention except for fourth and fifth bus bar. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide fourth and fifth bus bar, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

With respect to claim 28, Hasegawa et al. (GB2186769A) discloses the claimed invention except for the electrically heatable pathways are provided by electrically heatable wires, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide electrically heatable wires, since it has been held to be within the general skill or a worker in the art to select a known material such as film or coating or wires on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

10. Applicant's arguments filed 2/2/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Hasegawa discloses at least one bus bar is shared between different zones as shown in the Figure 11 A and B.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant with respect "It appears to Applicant, based upon the Office Action interpretation of Hasegawa document that multiple zones are illustrated in Figure 14, 15. No other Figure appears to illustrate multiple zones".

Hasegawa discloses multiple zones in numerous Figures e.g., Fig. 11A, 11B, 12A, 12B, 14, 15 and Hasegawa discloses ((57) Abstract), "A current is not supplied to the entire surface of a transparent conductive film 32 but limited by slits in the film 32, so that the current is supplied along a limited current path", (page 1, lines 43-46), "A conductive glass plate according to the present invention is designed so that a current is not supplied to the entire surface of a transparent conductive film, and flow paths of the current are limited by slits."

With respect to Smallbone document, Smallbone (US4251316) discloses an electrically heatable glazing panel (Fig. 1-14) comprising a substrate (1) and at least two electrically heatable zones, each electrically heatable zone comprising: an electrically conductive coating layer, spaced bus bars (4) adapted to supply electrical voltage across the substantially transparent, electrically conductive coating layer, and a conductive path defined between the bus bars in which at least one of the electrically heatable zones the conductive path changes direction at least once along its length within the electrically conductive coating layer so as to double back upon it self, one

portion of the conductive path extends substantially from a lower edge of the glazing panel to an upper edge of the glazing panel as shown in the Figures by slit (5) to form non conductive portions on the surface to avoid hot spots in the predetermined area and to provide uniform temperature distribution (column 3, lines 25-35), the length of the conductive path is substantially the same in each zone as shown in the Figures, all the bus bars are provided along the length of the lower edge of the glazing panel as shown in Figures (1,4,5,7 and 8), the electrically heatable zones are delimited by at least one zone boundary which is substantially insulating, the one or more zone boundaries are provided by non-coated portions (5) of the glazing panel, the glazing panel is laminated (claim 1).

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Prior art discloses claimed limitations.

11. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/516,597. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vinod D. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-4785. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 A.M. TO 4.00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Philip Leung can be reached on 571-272-4782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

Vinod Patel  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 3742



TU BA HOANG  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER